(रूपये करोड़ो में)

| वर्ष | आयातित नियंत्रणमुक्त उर्वरकों के संबंध में रियायत पर व्यय की गई धनराशि |
|---|---|
| 1995-96 | 87.74* |
| 1996-97 | 186.61 |
| 1997-98 | 292.27 |

*1995-96 के दौरान, रियायत स्कीम में आयातित डीए.पी. शामिल नहीं थे।

(घ) उच्चाधिकार प्राप्त उर्वरकों मूल्य निर्धारण नीति पुनरीक्षा समिति (एचपीएल) ने अन्य बातो के साथ-साथ उर्वरक उघोग के विकास हेतु समुचित नीतिगत ढांचें के सम्बध में सिफारिश की हैं। उच्चाधिकार प्राप्त समिति की रिपोर्ट में निहित सिफारिशों के सम्बन्ध में अन्तर मंत्रालीय मंत्रणा तथा उघोग से बातचीत शुरू की गई हैं। इस कार्य के पूर्ण हो जाने के बाद नई उर्वरक नीति की घोषणा की जायेगी। कृषकों के हितों की सुरक्षा एक सफल स्वदेशी उर्वरक उघोग का विकास इस नीति के निर्देशक मूलतत्व होगें।

**Surplus Teachers in Kendriya Vidyalayas**

*272. SHRI RAGHAVJI:
SHRI GOVINDRAM MIRI:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that despite transfers/adjustments a large number of teachers of different categories in Kendriya Vidyalayas are still surplus in different Kendriya Vidyalayas;

(b) if so, the category and Vidyalayas-wise names of such surplus teachers as on 1st June, 1998; and

(c) the modus operandi of eliminating surplus teachers in KVS?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI): (a) to (c) There are surpluses and shortages of teachers of different categories in various Kendriya Vidyalayas;

The category-wise and Vidyalaya-wise details of surplus teachers are being ascertained and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

The problem of surplus/shortage is addressed by transfers/adjustments of teachers.

**State capitals connected by direct railway lines with Delhi/Mumbai**

*273. SHRIMATI URMILABEN CHIMANBHAI PATEL: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) the State capitals connected with direct railway lines with Delhi and Mumbai;

(b) by when Gandhi Nagar in Gujarat will be directly connected by railway line to Delhi and Mumbai; and

(c) whether the Railway Minister has provided for the facility in the railway budget?

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (SHRI NITISH KUMAR): (a) All State capitals are linked by rail with Delhi and Mumbai except Agartala, Aizawal, Imphal, Kohima, Shillong, Itanagar, Gangtok and Srinagar.

(b) and (c) Gandhinagar in Gujarat is already linked by BG line to Ahmedabad and via Ahmedabad to Delhi and Mumbai. The survey for bringing Gandhinagar on Delhi—Ahmedabad main line is being updated. Further, consideration of the project will be possible once the survey report becomes available.

**Balika Samriddhi Yojana in Andhra Pradesh**

*274. DR. Y. LAKSHMI PRASAD: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether a request has been received from the Andhra Pradesh Government to release the first component of the "Balika Samriddhi Yojana" programme for the year 1997-98 and continue the scheme in 1998-99.

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the action taken to release the amount due under the Yojana?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENr (DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of Andhra Pradesh sought an amount of Rs. 9S7 lakhs for the year 1997-98 and an amount of Rs. 127.60 lakhs

per month to continue the programme during 1998-99.

(c) A total amount of Rs. 219.53 lakhs was sanctioned to the State Government during 1997-98. The Scheme has been continued in 1998-99 with a budget allocation of Rs. 60 crores for the entire country. Action to release the first instalment is being taken.

**PSUs under the Adminisstrative Control of Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers**

*275. SHRI .YERRA NARAYANA SWAMY: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) the public sector undertakings, coming under the administrative control of the Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers;

(b) whether the Ministry examines in details the annual reports of such undertakings and the audit reports and the comments of the auditors; and

(c) if so, what are the serious audit objections pointed out in the undertakings' reports and what actions were taken by Government in respect of such reports for 1995-96 and 1996-97, undertaking wise?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI SURJIT SINGHA BARNALA): (a) The following are the PSUs under the administrative control of the Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers;

*Department of Chemicals & Petrochemicals:*

1. Indian Petrochemical Corporation Limited (IPCL)
2. Hindustan Organic Chemicals Limited (HOCL)
3. Hindustan Insecticides Limited (HIL)
4. Indian Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Limited (IDPL)
5. Bengal Immunity Limited (BIL)
6. Hindustan Antibiotics Limited (HAL)
7. Bengal Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Limited (BCPL)
8. Smith Stanistreet Pharmaceuticals Limited (SSPL)

*Department of Fertilizers:*

1. Fertilizer Corporation of India Limited (FCI)
2. Hindustan Fertilizer Corporation Limited (HFC)
3. Pyrites, Phosphates & Chemicals Limited (PPCL)
4. Projects & Development India Limited (PDIL)
5. National Fertilizers Limited (NFL)
6. Fertilizers and Chemicals Travancore Limited (FACT)
7. Rashtriya Chemicals & Fertilizers Limited (RCF)
8. Madras Fertilizers Limited (MFL)
9. Paradeep Phosphates Limited (PPL)

(b) and (c) The audit reports on the working and affairs of the public sector undertakings and any comments upon, or supplement to, the Audit Reports, made by the Comptroller & Auditor General of India under section 619 (4) of the Companies Act, 1956 are examined in detail by the Board of Directors of the undertakings, which include representatives of the Ministry. Copies of the Audit Reports, and the observations of the Comptroller & Auditor General of India are available in the Annual Reports of the Public Sector Undertakings, which have been laid before both Houses of Parliament alongwith notes on the reviews of the working and affairs of the undertakings carried out by the Ministry.

No serious objections have been pointed out in the Annual Reports of the undertakings under the administrative control of the Department of Chemicals & Petrochemicals.

The Annual Report on the working and affairs of the National Fertilizers Limited during 1995-96 contains the observation of the Audit that the advance of Rs. 131.84 crore against contract for import of 2 lakh tonnes of urea should have been classified as doubtful of recovery and adequately provided for. Similar observations have also been made in respect of the outstanding debts against two of the sundry debtors, namely M/s Bijwasan Agro